1895-96
(L. R.)

File No. 106-95
Serial No. 1 to 9.

Sub: Appeal of Rangere Gangappa, Kathalagere, Channagiri Taluk regarding the levy of assessment on his lands Sy. No. 41, 46 and 47 of the said village.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1895-96.

191 .

(L. R.)

Later references.

106-95

File No. ~~92-95~~

Serial Nos. 1 to 9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 9

M. ~~Part~~ B for April 1899.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Rangare Gangappa, Kathalagere Chennagiri Taluk, regarding the levy of assessment on his lands Sur. No. 41, 46 and 47 in the said village.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 28-1-19

Condemned
pr
Indexed

Appeal suit no 15
of 1896-97

Appellant — Rangare
Gangappa of Kathala-
gere village — Thyavanagi
magani — Chennagiri
Taluk — Shimoga
district.

This is an appeal
against order no 844
of 16-5-96 of the Deputy
Commissioner of the
Shimoga district,
directing the levy of
assessment for the
year mammatha ie,
for 1895-96 on S. nos
46, 46 & 47 of the
above village

(1/8)7 25-5-95 The appellant
submitted a petition
to the Dy. Comrs stating
that dry assessment
of his Khale lands
was Rs 4 9, that for

Tookikere Hobli wet assessment was levied on the same that the amount of assessment including ~~Kandaya~~ Local Cess was Rs 139-8-1, that during the year Manmatha Visakhi crops failed for want of water supply that the crops were left unreaped so that they might be inspected by the Revenue authorities that therefore the wet assessment levied might be remitted.

The Dy. Comr ordered the Amildar to report whether it was usual to grow both Visakhi & Kartika crops in the Khatedar's lands 7-6-95

The Amildar in turn ordered the manegar to report whether it was usual to grow Visakhi crops in the Khatedar's lands & whether the said crops actually failed for want of water 25-6-9 (1/6)7

Supply.

(1/3)7 16-7-95 The Shekdar was also ordered to submit a detailed report on the following points—viz—what was the extent of wet-lands held by Gangappa?—whether it was customary to raise both Vishakhi & Kartika crops in the said lands—what crops were grown during the preceding years & to what extent?—what was the reason for not supplying water? whether grass was grown on the said lands.

(1/8)7 20-7-95 Thereon the manager took down the deposition of Patel Murigeppa that moderate Kartika crops were grown in S. nos 41 and 46 and 47, but the crops withered away for want of water supply & that in a half of S. no 47 the sugar-cane crop was good, and reported as follows—

~~(1/7) 7 22-7-95~~ ~~I have submitte~~

I have submitted herewith the deposition of the Patel. In S. nos 41 and 46 Kartika crops were grown. Seeds for Visakhi crops were sown in an improper season. In the 3/4 of S. no 47 Visakhi crops withered away for want of water supply. In the remaining portion of S. no 47 the sugar-cane crops is good. 22-7-95 (1/7) 7

Meanwhile Gangappa was served notices for the arrears. About the same time a Mahazar signed by the Patel & other ryots of the village was prepared. It is as follows — Gangappa owns S. nos 41, 46 and 47. Ordinarily both Visakhi and Kartika crops are grown in these lands. In S. nos 41 and 46 a moderate Kartika crop was raised. During the 22-7-95 (1) 7

23-7-95 (1/4) 7

crops withered away for want of water supply. In a portion of S. no 47 seed was not sown in proper season. Consequently crops withered away. In the rest of S. no 47 the sugar-cane crop is in good condition.

(1/2)7 13-8-95- There on the Amildar reported as follows—

Gangappa's dry S. nos 41, 46 and 47 were assessed at wet rates. Kartika crops were raised in S. nos. 41 & 46 in 1894-95. But Visakhi crops failed owing to seeds put into the said lands in an improper season. In a portion of S. no 47 sugar-cane crop is in good condition. In the remaining portion of the same no Visakhi crops failed. The loss of the petitioner resulted from his own negligence. The neighbouring ryots have reaped the Visakhi crops as they cultivated

tune. As the sugar-cane crop is good in S. No 47 there is no reason for remission in favour of the petitioner. The reports of the Shekdar & the manager have been submitted herewith.

Meanwhile Gangappa was granted an endorsement by the Amildar, stating that his request for remission could not be granted, because in the enquiry it was ascertained that Kartike crop was grown & only Visakhi crop failed owing to unseasonable cultivation. 17-8-95 (3)7

Gangappa thereon submitted another petition to the Dy. Comr, stating as follows — that water was not sufficient in the tank ~~for~~ for his lands in Kathalagere — that only 1/8 Kartike crop was grown in his lands — that Visakhi crops failed — that the 22-8-95 (6)7

lands & the tank is 1¼ miles – that when the seed was sown there was little water in the tank – yet water supply was expected that the villagers & the neighbours would testify to this state of things – that Visabhi crop was grown 1 foot high when it withered away for want of water supply – that his petition to the Amildar was of very little consequence that Amildar's order was very superficial as he never inspected the lands which contained the withered crop – that therefore justice might be shown to him

(½) 7 Dy. order No [97] Dt 30-8-95 } Thereon the Dy. Collr ordered that no remission could be made as the failure of crops resulted by the unseasonable cultivation of the petitioner.

(5) 7 17-9-95 Meanwhile a mahazar signed by the ryots of—

Ramalagere was
prepared by Gangappa
to the following effect—
that seed was sown
by Gangappa in proper
time in S. nos 41, 46 and
47 — that only one-eighth
Kartika crop was
raised from the said
lands — that Visakhi
crops failed for
want of water supply
in spite of all the pre-
cautions of Gangappa—

Gangappa submitted 20-9-95 (2/7)
another petition to the
Dy. Comrs with the
above mahazar &
urged — that his request
for remission of
bcb assessment was
refused by the Amildar—
that he sowed the seed
in proper time — that
Visakhi crops failed
solely for want of
water supply — & that
the Amildar was
misled by the Shekdar's
report.

By this time Gangappa 31-9-95 (4)½
was informed of the
Dy. Comrs Order no 697
of 30-8-95 referred to above.

(2)7 26-10-95- After a few weeks the Shekdar reported to the Amildar as follows— that the Patel of Kathadagire undertook to serve notice on Gangappa & recover the arrear of Rs 64-8-0 for the year gaya, but he failed to do so— that Gangappa was in Haiba & that notice might be served on him through Haiban Taluk authorities

26-10-95- But the Shekdar was ordered to see that the notice was duly served

31-10-95- on Gangappa. Thereon the Shekdar reported that Gangappa was in Kadur & that notice might be served on him through that Taluk.

(3)7 14-12-95- Thereon Gangappa's

(4)7. 18-12-95- lands were attached & sale notices were issued. But when Gangappa

22-12-95- refused to sign the notice, it was pasted

(5)7 22-12-95- in a public place & his refusal was reported

28-12-95- About the same time a mutchalika was taken from the Patel

Madiga as guards over the Sugar-cane crop in S. No 47 & forwarded to the Amildar 31-12-95. (6)7

Thereon Gangappa submitted a petition to the asstt: Collr stating that he prayed for the remission of wet-assessment of his S. Nos 41, 46 and 47, but his case remained unsettled - that by the orders of the Amildar his lands were attached and ordered to be sold on the 30th January 1896 that therefore the sale might be postponed pending the disposal of his petition. 7-1-96 (8/1)7

Thereon the Amildar was ordered to submit a report on the entire proceedings of the case & he reported as follows — That Gangappa's dry S. Nos 41, 46 and 47 were assessed at wet-rates - that crops failed in 1894-95 for want of water supply — 24-1-96 (8/2)7

for remission of assessment was rejected by the Dy. Comr on the ground of the petitioner's negligence to sow the seed in proper season — that Rs 108-5-11 is due to the Govt. by the petitioner that sugar-cane crop ~~is in good condition~~ in S. No. 47 & the lands would be sold to recover the arrears and that the petitioner did not deserve remission

(8)7 30-1-96 By this time Gangappa paid Rs 111-11-4 in satisfaction of the arrear & requested to keep the amount in deposit till the disposal of his appeal.

(9)7 8-2-96 Gangappa again submitted a petition to the Asst: Comr stating — that he submitted two petitions with a Mahazar for the remission & said

on his lands – that he also submitted a third petition to put-off the sale – that the sale was postponed – that by the pressure of the Shekdar the petitioner was compelled to pay Rs 111–11–11 which he requested to hold in deposit till the disposal of his case – that the whole amount of Kandayem due by him was Rs 139–8–1 – that out of this Rs 75 was paid – that a balance of Rs 64–8–1 was left – that for this amount Rs 111–11–11 was recovered from the petitioner – that therefore justice might be shown to the petitioner by referring to the whole of the records connected with the case.

Gangappa again 27–4–96 (10)7
submitted a petition to the Dy. Comm stating

of the revenue arrears
his S. nos 41, 46 and
47 were attached — that
to prevent the sale
of the lands the arrears
was paid up, with
a request to ~~be held~~ hold the sum
in deposit in the
Taluk Kutchery — that
the Sooliken Nalla
could not supply
the said lands
with water — that
when the nalla was
full a meagre supply
of water was made —
that there was no wet
crop in the said
lands during the
preceding year as
there was no water
supply — that in
addition the petitioner
was not duly informed
by the authorities of
the fact that his
lands were assessed
at wet rates — that
there was no reason
to give the wet assess-
ment of the previous

portions of his lands
capable of irrigation
should bear the
wet assessment
that the petitioners resig-
nation might be accepted
if remission could
not be made - that
the petitioner should
bear a heavy loss if
the Govt. should accept
the resignation as
he had spent large
sums in improving
his lands - that during
the current year
that there was no water
supply & no wet
crops were grown
& that he was bound
only to pay dry
assessment.

By referring to the records that were submitted in Connection with the above petition The Dry loans ordered as was already referred to that wet-assessment for mammatha should

15-5-96
16-5-96

(8/2)7

resignation of the petitioner should be accepted from the year Durmukhi.

25-6-96

Gangappa the appellant after obtaining the copy of the Jamabandi officer's order appealed to the Court against the said order on the following grounds

That from the year 1924 the dry lands of the appellant were assessed at wet rates & that the appellant was not in accordance with the Land revenue Code informed of the levy of wet assessment.

That only a small portion of the appellants' land is capable of irrigation by the distributory Hooliheri Canal.

That the Dy. Comm was wrong in setting

aside the Manager of the villagers & believing the Amildar's report that the appellant put seeds into his lands in a wrong season—

That the appellant would make another mahazar if required—

And prayed for the remission of the wet assessment & the refunding of the amount held in deposit

The Amildar in submitting the records Connected with the case has reported as follows— 4-8-96 (12/2)7

Records called for by order no 846/879 dt 16-5-96 are submitted herewith. The appellants' S. Nos 41, 46 and 47 were dry. Lately they were assessed at wet rates. In 1894-95 the appellant requested remission of wet assessment

was not supplied. But
the appellant was
given an endorsement
stating that no remission
would be made as
he failed to sow the
seed in proper season,
by order No 197/206 dt 30-8-95
The appellant again
submitted a petition
to the Dy. Comr. It
was required by
order No 282/291 dt 23-9-95
to submit a detailed
report by the Amildar.
R.1008-5-1 is due to
the Govt. by the appellant.
Notice was served on
the appellant to
recover the arrear, in
vain. The sugar-cane
crop in S. No 67 was
ordered to be sold.
Meanwhile the appellant
paid up the arrears
& the same time
requesting to hold
the amount in deposit
till the disposal of
his appeal. The amount
was, as requested, deposited

& the records connected with the case were put before the Jamabandi Officer who ordered that wet assessment should be paid for the year manmatha & Rajinama to be accepted from the year durmukhi. The arrear that was recovered viz Rs 111-11-4 is held in deposit. The arrear for the year Vijaya was recovered & for 1895-96 i.e manmatha remains to be recovered - The amount of the arrear is Rs 131-5-0. Steps are taken to recover the same.

Dy. Comr's Order No 1998 25-9-96.

(13/2)7.

The Amildar was again ordered to submit the extracts of Khate & Khirdi for 1894-95 and 1895-96 of S. nos 41, 46 & 47 along with his report. The report was to be found on the following points — The rate of

levied on the lands — the year in which wet assessment was levied — the year in which wet assessment was collected — the date on which the order for permanent wet assessment was received from the survey dept. —

Revenue Report No 205 dt 10-10-96

The Amildar thereon submitted the extracts of Khate & Khirdi with the following report —

That — S. no 41 was assessed at wet rates in 1893-94 ie Vijaya, the assessment being Rs 11-5-3

That — S. no 46 was assessed at wet rates in Khara year the assessment of that year being Rs 29-8-6, The assessment of the same no for Vijaya year was Rs 23-12-4

That — S. no 47 was assessed at rates from Nandana year & the wet assessment of the same for Nandana & Vijaya years was Rs 32-13-3. That the

total assessment is Rs 68-8-6 - that the appellant utilised water from Soolibere halla unauthorisedly - that hence his lands were brought under Takeru Tabkhta & a temporary wet assessment was levied on the lands by the Jamabandi Officer but - Order No 8338/14-3-95 for permanent wet assessment was received & the said assessment was levied from 1896-95 i.e. Jaya year - that from this year the wet assessment has been collected.

Copies of the extracts referred to in the file. (14 & 15) 7

MV.
20/3/97

Note

7 means Farist-number.

Pet^{rs}'s lands were originally assessed dry but were assessed wet in 1894-95 by the Survey Dept as the lands were irrigable by the Soolikere channel & pet^{r} had surreptitiously used the channel water before the year 1894-95.

One of pet^{rs}'s grounds of appeal also urged before the DC Comm^{r} is that he was not informed of the change in the levy of assessment. There is nothing in the record to show that he was informed but there is the fact that a temporary water-rate was levied by order of the Jamabandi Officer and immediately afterwards the permanent water-rate was fixed by the Survey Dept.

Pet^{r}'s contention that his lands (at least a portion (Pet^{r}'s monthly inspection) are not irrigable by the channel seems to have been disposed of on the Shekdar's report and the Patel's Mahazar. It does not seem to have struck the amildar or the DC Comm^{r} that professional (Engineering) opinion or even personal inspection would have

considerably strengthened the
Case for Govt. In a similar
& more important case of lands
under Salsette Island & claims
in the Shimola Dt (the file
was transferred to Rev Branch
in December 1896) the Dy Comr
had strengthened his case by
calling professional opinion
In the present case, there seems
to have been difficulty
in paying the assessment
from the beginning
Secn 5-3 of the Law Rev Regn &
the rule XIX framed thereunder
do not make it clear whether
the assessment can be imposed
irrespective of the wishes of the
Ryots & simply because the Dy
Comr & the amildar thinks the
Lands are enjoyable
The assessment for 1894-95
has been paid under protest
& that for 1895-96 remains to
be recovered. A Stay of execution proceedings
has been stayed pending disposal
of the appeal. Petr's relinquish-

rent of this land has been
accepted by the D.Y. Comr
from the Year 1896-97.

Sd/ 2nd counter
29/3/97

Was the land Sanjai
assessed for
the year 1895-96
which Income
is Rs. ? ?
was it under attach
ment during the
year?

When did the
Pattadar tender his
relinquishment
& What is the date?
Has he left the land
& what proof
for opinion taken
as to whether it
could be regarded
as such.

What was the
present condition
of the land

Sd/
Draft-ready
Sd/ 1/4/97

1/4/97 Draft
Sd/ 5/4/97

1/4/97

Continuation of the Proceedings
20/3/97

In pursuance of the 2nd Councillor's order of 1-4-97 the Dy. Comm. was asked by the Govt. to report on the following points—

(1) Was the land Banjar or cultivated for the year 1895-96 ie mamatha? and was it under attachment during the year?

(2) When did the petitioner tender his relinquishment? and what is the date?

(3) What is the present condition of the land?

Vide - Docket-No 8818/1509 of 7-4-97 written from this office.

The Dy. Comm. was further asked to have the land inspected and taken the opinion of a competent officer of the Public Works Department as to whether it could be irrigated or not.

On 17-4-97 the Dy. Comm.

docket to the Amildar of Chamrajpet & asked him to report on the points mentioned in it.

The Amildar submitted the following report — That in 1895-96 the whole of Survey numbers 41 and 46 and a half of S. No. 47 were cultivated by Rangere Gangappa. That all the said Survey numbers were under attachment in 1895-96 for revenue arrears due for the year 1894-95 ie fasli. That Gangappa tendered the resignation of the land to the Dy. Comr. on 27-4-96. That the survey numbers have been lying waste from 1896-97 ie Durmukhi. And that Darkhasts have been received for portions of S. No. 47. 26-5-97

On the receipt of the above report the Dy. Comr. requested the Executive Engineer to forward his opinion as to whether the lands were irrigable or not.

By the order of the

Executive Engineer [illegible]
asstt: Engineer inspected
the lands and reported
that the lands could be
irrigated from the channel
20-4-98 water & the ryots who
had possessed lands
just by its side had
a good crop during
that year. The executive
Engineer informed the Dy.
Collr that the lands could
be irrigated & the latter has
submitted the Amildar's
report & the Executive
Engineer's report along
with his report which
repeats the two reports.

Misc.
30/6/98

2/7/98

I recommend Wiley
there are no reasons
for remission -
the appeal must
be rejected

3.7.98

4/7/98

Dft Endt put up

5/7/98

DK.

27

1896

Stamp sufficient

In Time

Not a Council case

Subd for orders-
A.S.
9/7/96

Case prepared
notices issued.
15/7/96

16/7/96

No. 4141 Petition in Kannada. Subd. to be sent there. A.S. 7/7

Name of Petitioner, Rangaré Gungappa

Village, Kathalgér

Taluk, Chanagiri

District, Shimoga

Date of Arzi, 25-6-96

Date of Receipt, 29-6-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 2

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner states that he is the owner of land of Survey Nos 41, 46 & 47 in the village of Kathalagiri (Chanagiri Talug) Before jaya ~~samvatsara~~, water of the tank of Soolikeri was let-off to put the Sluice of it under repairs. During jaya ~~samvatsara~~ only 1/8 of the produce was raised from his land owing to the scarcity of water. The petitioner requested both the Amaldar & the Dy. Comr. to remit a portion of assessment on making due enquiry But on the report of Shekdar stating that

ad 20/7 Drafts
KLV 20/7 L.R. 22-9-96

D.R.VI
105-3

Karthika crops were raised fully & that only Visakha crops failed, the Amaldar reported the same to the Dy. Comm. & said that the petitioner was wrong in asking for remission of assessment having sown the seed in wrong season. The Dy. Comm. confirmed the same opinion. Then the petitioner requested the Dy. Comm. to refer to the mahazar of the inhabitants of the village stating that only 1/8 of the produce was raised during the year of gaya, and ~~at the same time asking his permission to make another mahazar to that effect.~~ The Dy. Comm. instead of taking steps in ~~this direction~~ ordered that the petitioners land to be attached & put to auction for the recovery of a balance of Rs 64-8^an-1^p for the year gaya. Thereupon the petitioner paid the balance with a petition to keep the amount in deposit till his case be settled. petitioner states that up to gaya only dry assessment was raised from his land. But from gaya the wet assessment is levied, though a very small portion of his land is irrigated by the distant Sooliker Canal. According to Land Rev. code the petitioner was not informed of this assessment. The petitioner again petitioned to the Dy. Comm. requesting to make an enquiry about his land & levy wet-assessment from the wet-portion & dry assessment from the dry portion of his land. In case the Dy. refuses this request

the petitioner requested to take Rajinama from him. But the Dy. Comm. ordered to pay wet-assessment up to manmatha & then his Rajinama for Durmukhi ~~samvatsara~~ would be accepted. The petitioner therefore states that the Dy. Comm. was wrong in overlooking the mahazar of the people & also confirming the statement of the Amaldar stating the petitioner sowed in a wrong season. Even now petitioner if ordered by Govt will make another mahazar & show that he did not sow in wrong season & that only small produce was raised from his land during the year gaya. He therefore prays that the wet-assessment be removed assessment for the portion of his land not irrigated & the already paid be refunded. The petitioner has submitted hereunto the order No 844 dated 16-5-96 of the Dy. Comm & his ~~Jan~~ petition to the Dy. Comm., dated 27th April 1896. for perusal.

6-7-96.

The Dt Court's order is — Wet assessment should be paid for Manmatha. The Rajinama will come into effect for Durmukhi.

Pet-Ejectment 146.95

250

22-7-96.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

DRH
10/5/54
L.R. 106-95-2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

No. 4141 dated 25th June 1896

received at the Dewan's Office from Rangappa Gangappa appealing against the order of the Deputy Commr of Shemoga directing that petitioner should pay wet assessment for the year Manmatha for his lands Survey nos 41, 46 & 47 of Kathalgere in the Chennagiri Talk

is forwarded, together with its two enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Shemoga District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosures should be returned.

By order,

Draft 2

BANGALORE, 20/22-7-1896

For Chief Secretary.

20.7.96

2/2/97.

Make a precis + submit early.
Pet 1 grounds of appeal cannot be understood without a careful perusal of the records
M 6/2/97

Received 6/2/97 2 p.m.
MW

Sub[d] 6 2[nd] Councillor with precis + Memo. herewith
M 29/3/97

R2 7.30 P.M.
30/3/97

10 S 5 5

106-95-3

L.R. 95-3

1896 97 Pet 467

28 JAN 97

OFFICE

From The Deputy Commissioner Thimoga district

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Genl and Rev: Depts

Dated 25th January 1897

Despatched

Received

General No. 778

No. 655

Enclosure No. 1 Verā Vestan
1 Petn & Enc

2

Sir

Adverting to official memorandum No Pet 146 of the 20th July 1896 I submit report on the petition of Rangaraj Gangaraj of Harihar.

Petition &
28/1/97

Submitted
AK
30/1

M 28/1

(223)

No. 778/655

Deputy Collector's Office
Shimoga District
Shimoga 25th January
1897

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Genl and Rev: Depttt

Sir,

Adverting to your official memorandum Sel: No 146 of the 20th July 1896 forwarding for report the petition of Rangare Gangappa appealing against the order of this Office directing the levy of wet assessment for the year Dhanumatha (1895-96) on lands

Survey Nos. 41, 46 and 47
of the Kathalgere village
in the Chanagiri Taluk
and your reminders Nos.
654 and 6280 of the 14th
November 1896 and 15th
January 1897, I have
the honor to report as
follows.

2. The petitioner holds
dry lands noted in the
margin in the village
of Kathalgere in the
Chanagiri Taluk. They
were formerly assessed
at dry rates, the total
assessment being Rs 49/-
but as they were irrigated
by the Sulekere channe

Survey No. 41- 18-14- 7 3- " -
46- 9-21- 42- " -
47- 10-33- 48- " -
36-28 163- " -

2. Of. Wet assessed

the Survey Department classed them in 1894-95 as wet and imposed a wet assessment of Rs 163-11-4 making the necessary corrections in the Akarband Then the petitioner urged in the year Jaya (1894-95) that his lands were not properly irrigated for want of sufficient quantity of water in the tank, and that consequently he had suffered loss of crops and solicited re-mission of assessment. On enquiry by the Amildar it was found that the petitioner had failed

to sow seeds in proper
time unlike other neigh-
= bouring land-holders, and
that the allegation that his
lands were not sufficiently
irrigated was untrue. The
amildar thereon reported
the facts to this office
when he was directed
to inform the petitioner
that there was no reason
to grant any remission.
3. Upon this the petitioner
submitted another petition
to this office. It was
referred to the amildar
for report to the Jamabandi
Officer.
4. In the meanwhile
the petitioner having

failed to pay the arrears
of kandayam due for the
year 1894-95, his land,
survey No. 47, was attached
and advertized for sale.
On the day of the sale
the petitioner produced
the kandayam due, with
a request that the amount
might be held in deposit
pending the disposal of
his said appeal. The
amildar accordingly
recovered the amount and
held it in deposit and
submitted the records to
the Jamabandi Officer
at the Jamabandi settlement
of 1895-96 for orders.
Rao B. Raghunatha Row

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Offg Deputy Commissioner, who settled the Jamabandi of 1895-96, directed that wet assessment should be levied for the year 1895-96 (Dummatta), and that as petitioner resigned the lands, they should be struck out of his Khata from the year Dummatta (1896-97). Thereupon the Amildar credited the amount of arrears held in deposit, viz Rs 111-11-11, to Government as Kandayam for the year 1894-95.

5. Now the Kandayam for the year 1895-96 +

ing Rs 131-5-", is in arrears; but as per your docket No. Pet. 659 of the 14th November 1896, coercive measures for its recovery have been stayed.

6. The revenue records connected with the case are herewith submitted for reference and kind return.

7. The appeal petition and its enclosures received with the official memo under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. servt.

W. Chesterton Crosthwaite
Deputy Commissioner

10/96

Gen. 31]

1897

From

The Secretary to Govt
Genl and Rev. Depts

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dt

Dated 7-4

Despatched April/97

Received

General No. 8818.

D. No. 1509

Enclosure No. 1 & Nil

His letter No. 775 dated 25th January 1897, reporting on the petn of Ramgare Ganga of Harihar, regarding the levy of wet assessment for the year commencing from on his lands S. Nos 41, 46 & 47 of Kathalgere in the Chennagiri Taluk.

Has the honor by order to request that he will be so good as to submit a few days report regarding the following points

(1). was the land Banjar or cultivated for the year 1895-96 & if so was the land under attachment during the year?

(2). when did the petr tender his relinquishment and what is the date?

(3) What is the present condition of the land?

2. The Deputy Commissioner is perhaps requested to have the land inspected and the Officer of the Public Works Dept a Competent ~~Professional opinion~~ taken as to whether it ~~cannot~~ can be irrigated or not and submit a report as to the present condition of the land.

3. The Vernacular deeds and the petn with enclosures received with the above are returned for reference and resubmission.

By order

Asst Secretary

7/4/97

27-6-98

Gl. No. 9482
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
1898
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
General and Rev Depts

Dated 22d June 1898.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1452
No. 1195

Enclosure No. 1 File

Adverting to his no. 0818/1509 dated 7th April 1898 submits further report on the lands belonging to Rangarao Gangaji Rao

Rev Petitions Branch
25/6/98

Read 27/6
Mr Venkatanarayana Setty's petition
29.6.98 Received at 5.10 p.m.
MVG 29/6/98

Precis put up.
MVG
30/6/98 Sub with precis entered
1/7/98 MVG

Rev 95-5

Fd 2 5 1 Corrn letter

Precis 2/7/98

R? 7.30 pm
2.7.98

Rendered
precis
37 Ed.

4-7-98

Sent 2 copies to Dy Comm

4/7/98

Dft Endt put up

MVG 5/7/98

No. 1452/1195 in 1 file

Shimoga District

Shimoga 22nd June 1898.

To

The Secretary to the Government

of Mysore

General and Revenue Dept.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No. 8818 Pet. 1509 dated the 7th April 1897 calling for a further report on certain points in connection with the petition of Kangare' Gangappa of Harihar, I have the honour to state as follows:-

(1) The whole of the Survey Nos. 41 and 46 and half of Survey No. 47 were cultivated during the year "Manmatha" 1895-96, but were under attachment on account of the arrears of the year "Jaya" (1894-95).

(2) The petitioner Gangappa tendered his resignation on the 27th April 1896 which was accepted by the Amildar in May 1896.

(3) From the year 1896-97, these lands have been lying as Government waste. Darkhasts have since been received for portions of survey No 47.

2. The Assistant Engineer, who inspected the lands under reference, states that they can be irrigated by the channel water, and that Kaijats owning lands by the side of the channel harvested a good crop during the year under reference

3. The vernacular correspondence together with the Assistant-Engineer's dockets nos 145 and 140 dated the 16th and 14th April 1898 is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

H. Mainwaring

Offg Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

(12) 49

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

D.R.VI
10556

L.R. 106-95-6

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

"By order

Off'

C2 P2 For Secy

Mlle No 174/P.10 dt 7.7.98

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Dy Comr Shimoga Dist for information together with the records received with his letter No 1452 dated 22nd June 1898.

1195

The Dy Comr is requested to return the appeal petition with its enclosures sent to him for reference with this office docket No 8816/1509 dated 7th April 1897. "By order"

For Secy

Noted M.D. 12-7-98

Mlle 5/7/98

JUL 29 1898
No 40.
DEWAN'S OFFICE

(14)

1898-99

From The Assistant Commissioner
Sambalpur District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Bengal
General & Rev: Depts

Dated 27th July 1898

Despatched

Received

General No. 85 } 1898-99
No. 73

Enclosure No. 3

With ref: to his Memo
No 1761 dt 9th Inst. Returns
Pet: to
the appeal petition
of Rangare Gangadhar
of Harihar with its
enclosures —

—

Petitioner
29.7

Read
30/7
Rept-putup
AK
30.7.98

Submd.
This may simply be
refiled
Mk
3/8/98

Refiled

3/8/98

Ref
L 3/8/98 - B.B.VII
1045
106-95-7
L.R. 92-95-7

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mangalore District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Rev: Departments

Dated 27th July 1898

No 85/73 } 1898-99.
Rev: 3

His Memo No 174 dated the 7th Instant requesting Pet: to return of the appeal petition of Rangare Gangappa of Harihar with its enclosures —

As requested in the above, I have the honour to return herewith the appeal petition with its enclosures received with his docket No 8818 dated 7th April 1897 — P. 1509

H. Manjunday
Deputy Commissioner

1896



MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PERSONAL DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. N. 4141 R
DATE 26-6-96

4141 రూ: 2.

A.J. No. 15 of 1896-97

553

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

Revenue Shiristedar
Shimoga district

[illegible]

Refer
6/3/99.
1038 998 Refers last ref.
Secy R
7/3/99
Subs
(1) Two th stamp affixed

1899

No. 14-73.

Name of Petitioner Rangaray Gangappa

Village } residing in
S. Taluk } Harihar

District Chitaldrug.

Date of Arzi 19-1-99.

Date of Receipt 3-3-99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 4. } 1-3. Three endorsements quoted in his petition. 4. Receipt book in his name from 21-2-92 to 17-5-96

This petition is addressed to H. H. the Maharaja Regent.

ABSTRACT

With reference to Govt endorsements Nos 4141 dy 6-7-98, 245 dy 28-9-98 & 1942 dy 7-1-99 the petitioner states that the Govt should reconsider their order for the following reasons:—

1. That he was not present at the appeal time.
2. That the Govt did not notice the Mahazir made by the villagers, to the effect that water supply was not sufficient in the year Manmatha & consequently his lands Nos 41, 46 & 47 lay waste.

1038 998 Refers last ref.
Secy R
7/3/99
Subs
(1) Two th stamp affixed

1055 106-95-8
L. R 94

2) Third application for review of G.O (End no 4141 dy 6.7.98)

nil
8/3/99 Complete the pen file

attended to
H.S. 8/3/99
9/3/99 Sup 5 2nd Committee

Dy 9/3/99
Decline as
prayer
Secy
31.3.99

Dy 4/4/99

Recd original returned
Enclosures Ch
4/4/99

as no water in the Shoolay-
re tank during the years
'93-94, as the bed of the tank
was given over for dry culti-
vation for Rs 963.

. That the Govt has taken
possession of the land on the
~~applying~~ representing, ~~out of time~~, to the
Dy: Commr., ~~to do so, he being~~ that it was supposed to
~~unable~~ have to pay the wet assessme
even when there was no water gu
. That the Govt did not con
sider the incorrectness of the
lower court in accepting his
Rajamana petition in the
month of April, being not in
the proper form according to
Land Revenue Code, & in
not waiting for the petitioner
to produce identifying Certifica
. That the receipts proving th
payment of Rs 71-2-8 should
not be taken into account,
in deciding the case, & that
the Govt did not send for the
records of Taluk & Hayyur Ja
bandies, to shew that more
money than is really due by th
has been collected.

Prays that a just order may
be passed in this case after

1473

Fwd Stamp affixed mdy 18.3.35

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD NO 1473 of
DATE 3-3-99

Rupees Ten Only
Ten Rupees
10

ಪ್ರಾಯದ ತಿಜಾ ಮೇಲೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಕಿಗದ ಮೇಲೆ
ಇಷ್ಟಾಗಿ.

ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ರಿವಿನ್ಯೂ ಅಪೀಲನಲ್ಲಿ ಎಪ್ರಿಲ್
೧೯೦೪ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂಲ ಕಾಜಿನಾಮೆ ಪಿಳಿದು ಕೊಂಳ್ಳಿಕ್ಕೆ
ಸಾಲಿಬಿಂಧನೆ ಇಂದ ನಮೂನೆಪ್ರಕಾರ ಇಳಿಜೀಕೆಂದು
ನ್ಯೂ. ಕಾಜೀನಾಮೆಪಿಡಾಳನ್ನ ಕೊಂಡಾಯ್ ಸಹಿ ಹಾ
ಸಲಿಸಿಪ್ಪೇಟ ಹಣಂ ನಮೂದಿಸಲಂ ಕಾಂಯದೆ ಎಪ್ಪಿ
ದಿಜಿ ಉಳಿವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಶ್ಶಿಂದ ಹಿಂಕದೆ ಇಳಿಕ ಪ್ರಾಪ್ತ
ರಪ್ಪೆ ಉಳಿವ ಮೇಲೆ ನಮೂದಿಜಿವ ಅಪ್ಪಿನಿಯನ್ನ
ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಜಿವ ಅಂರೆಪ್ಪ ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ
ನಮೂದು ಪಿ-ಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಾಲ್ಲಿ.

ಕಾಂಪ್ಲಿಂಕೋ ಜಮೀಂಬಂದಿ ಪುಟೂರು ಜಮೀಂ
ಬಂದಿ ಅಪೀಸಂಳ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಪುರಿಗೆ ೧೪-೩-೮ ಲ್ಲಿ
ನಂದನ ಹುಂವಪ್ಪಳದ ಅಜ್ಜೆ ಅಂಚಿವಿಜಿಯ ಸಂವಪ್ಪ
ಳದ ಅಂಗಾಯಿಕ್ಕೆ ಉಳಿವ ರಬೀಲಿ ಪೋಳ್ಳನಿಲಿ
ಪಳ್ಳಿಪಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ —— ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಂಪ್ಲಿಂಕೋ ಪುಟೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮ
ಸಡಿಪಿಳಿವ ಜಮೀಂಬಂದಿ ಅಪೀಲ್ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಾ ಕಲಂಪ
ನಂದಿಗೆ ಮೇಂಟೆ ನಮಗೆ ಅಪೀಲೋಕ್ತ ನಮೂದಲ್ಲ —
ಇಷ್ಟರಿಂದ ನಶ್ಶಿಂದ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಮಹೂಲ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ಗೆ ಅಪೀಲು
ಕರಿ ಕಂಡಾಯ ಜಾಸ್ತಿಪಟ್ಟಿಪ್ಪಿಲುವದು ಪಿ-ಕ್ಕೆ ಮೇಲ್ಪ್ರಕಾರಂ

ಈ ಅಪೀಸಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದು ಇಪ್ಪ ಅಂಶಕ್ಕೆಸಲ್ಲಿ ಮಟ್ಟಿ
ಪ್ರಜ್ಞಾ ಪರಿ ಪಾಲಿಪ ಮಂಡಕಕ್ಕೆ ಮಹಾಮಂಜೇಕ್ಕೆ ಯವಳ
ವಿಡಪ್ಪಿನ ಅಧಿಕಾರಂ ಪ್ರಸಾದಿಪ ಪಿ-ಕ್ಕೆ ಕಂಡು ಮೇಲ್
ಮೂಂಡಿಪ ನಮಗೆ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಕೊಳ್ಳಲ್ಕೆ ಕಾಜ್ಞೆ ಮಾಡಿ ನ್ಯಾಯ ಪಾಡ
ಪ್ರಜ್ಞಾಪಿಸಲಪ್ಪಕ್ಕೆಲಂಪಪಿಕ್ಕೆಂಬಿ ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಯುಳ್ಳಿ ನಶ್ಶಿಸ್ಸ-
ಸ್ಸ್ಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಂಪ್ಲಿಂ ನಶ್ಶಿನಿ ಲಿಪ್ಪಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮಂಡಪಿಕ್ಕೆಂಡು ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪಿಸ್ಸು
ಕೊಳ್ಳುನ್ನೇ.

ಇಂತಿಜಂ ಮೂಲ್ಕಾಂಡಾಸೆ ಕಳ್ಳ ನಶ್ಶಿಂ-ಸ್ಸು

ನಾಗ್ 1892 ನೇ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಪಿಜ್ಞಾಪಿಸಿದ ಕಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ
ನಗ್ 1896 ನೇ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇ ಕಂಸ್ಟ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳವರಿಂ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞಾಪಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ

ನಂಬರ್ 1473 ನೇ

(21)

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಿನಾಂಕ 6-4-99

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ

ಸಿ.ಆರ್.ಪಿ
ಪಿ.ಸಿ.ಕೆ

L.R. 106-95-9

ಮೇಲೆ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಮನವ